COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE STATE BOARD ON ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

In t	he l	Matte	er o	f:
------	------	-------	------	----

The Application of the Illinois)	
Municipal Electric Agency and the)	
Indiana Municipal Power Agency		Case No. 2005-00152
For a Merchant Electric Generating)	
Plant Certificate to Construct)	

Response of Joint Applicants to Staff's First Data Request

The Illinois Municipal electric Agency and the Indiana Municipal Power Agency, by counsel, provide the following response to the Staff's questions.

 Is Figure 2-1 in Exhibit D the same map as Figure 2-1 of Exhibit B, "Site Assessment Report"?

Response: Yes.

2. Submit one map showing:

a. The parcel boundaries as currently defined by the Property Valuation Administrator of Trimble County in the area of the proposed power plant.

Attached

b. The tract of land for the proposed site, as defined by the legal description in Appendix A, "Legal Description" of Exhibit B, Site Assessment Report, of the Application filed May 11, 2005. Clearly indicate either on the map or in the text whether or not the boundaries you show on the map coincide with the PVA parcel boundaries. If they do not coincide, explain any discrepancies.

There is no discrepancy.

c. The property lines shown in Figure 2-1, Trimble County Station Layout" in Exhibit D, facility Site Layout and boundaries Vicinity Map", of the Application filed May 11, 2005. Clearly indicate either on the map or in the text whether or not the boundaries you show on this map coincide with the PVA parcel boundaries. If they do not coincide, explain the discrepancies.

There is no discrepancy.

d. The parcels of the nearest property owners that were mailed notices according to the list in Exhibit E, Map of adjacent property and list of property owners". Label these parcels with an identifier, either the number used in the list in Exhibit E, or the name(s) of the owner.

See attached map and owner list.

e. If there are any parcels adjacent to the property as defined in the legal description in Appendix A that are not identified in Exhibit E, attach an identifier on the map and explanations in the text.

See attached map.

3. Are the property lines in Figure 2-1, Trimble County Station Site Layout in Exhibit D, Facility Site Layout and Boundaries Vicinity Map, the same as the project boundaries in Figure 1-1 of Exhibit b, Site Assessment Report? If they are not the same, explain the discrepancies.

Response: No. The "property lines" in Figure 2-1 are not the same as the "project boundaries" in Figure 1-1. However, this is largely an issue of semantics; if one considers the boundary of the developed plant site to be the "project boundary" -- that is, not including the undeveloped land owned by LG&E surrounding the developed plant site -- then the project boundary is the same. Please see the map attached to the response to Question No. 2.

Submitted by:

John N. Hughes

124 West Todd St.

Frankfort, KY 40601

502 227-7270

jnhughes@fewpb.net

Attorney for IMEA and IMPA

Certification:

A copy of this response has been filed electronically as required by Board regulations.

John N. Hughes